

Best Co-Approximation In Weighted Space

Alaa Adnan Auad^{*}, Alaa Hussain

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for pure science, University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq



ARTICLE INFO

Received: 21 / 04 / 2022
Accepted: 16 / 05 / 2022 Available
online: 22 / 12 / 2022
DOI: [10.37652/juaps.2022.176475](https://doi.org/10.37652/juaps.2022.176475)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present best co- approximation in weighted space. The results considered are these of existence of functions of best co- approximation, specifications of co-a proximal and specification co- Chebyshev subspaces.

Keywords:

Weighted space,
co – approximation,
co – Chebyshev and
co- aproximal Introduction,

1. INTRODUCTION

Estimates in approximation theory that (cf [1] , [2], [3], [4]and [5])has recently introduced [6] and [7] As in the case of best approximation, the theory of best co-approximation has been developed to a from obvious in metric space and Banach Characterization, Characterization of co-a proximal space by [8], [9] and [10].

In a class of papers many authors have proved many results on best co approximation in metric space and normed space as [11] and [12]. In this paper introduced some results on existence of functions of best co- approximation specifications of co-a proximal and specification co- Chebyshev subspaces.

Let $X = [-1,1]$, W the set of all weighted functions which as $w: X \rightarrow R^+$ and $L_{p,w}(X)$ the space of all unbounded functions, $1 \leq p < \infty$ with norm for $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$,

$$\|f\|_{p,w} = \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f(x) w(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Let A be a subspace of $L_{p,w}(X)$, $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$, find a function a^* in A such that

$$\|f - a^*\|_{p,w} \leq \|f - a\|_{p,w} \text{ for every } a \in A$$

^{*}Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics/ College of Education for pure science, University of Anbar, E-mail address: ala20u2014@uoanbar.edu.iq

We say that a^* is best co approximation of f and the set of best approximation of f by the functions of A is denoted by \mathcal{P}_δ . Clearly

$$\mathcal{P}_\delta(f) = \{ \cap_{a \in A} \bar{B}(f, \|f - a\|_{p,w}) \} \cap A$$

Where $\bar{B}(f, \|f - a\|_{p,w})$ denotes the closed ball in $L_{p,w}(X)$.

As a peer to best co approximation, and the kind of approximation called best co approximation which define by.

If $a^* \in A$, then

$$\|f - a\|_{p,w} \geq \|f - a^*\|_{p,w} \text{ for } a \in A.$$

We say that a^* is best co-approximation of f and the set of best co-approximation of f by the functions of δ is denoted by \mathcal{Q}_δ . Clearly

$$\mathcal{Q}_\delta(f) = \{ \cap_{a \in A} \bar{B}(f, \|f - a\|_{p,w}) \} \cap A$$

The set A is said to be proximal (respectively co-proximal) if $\mathcal{P}_\delta(f)$ (respectively $\mathcal{Q}_\delta(f)$) is non-empty for each $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$, it's said to be chebyshev (respectively chebyshev) $\mathcal{P}_\delta(f)$ (respectively $\mathcal{Q}(f)$) contains exactly one element for each $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$.

The function $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ is said to be orthogonal to author function $g \in L_{p,w}(X)$ and denoted by $f \perp g$ if $\|f - \beta g\|_{p,w} \leq \|f\|_{p,w}$ for any scalar β and f is orthogonal to subspace A of $L_{p,w}(X)$ and denoted by $f \perp A$ if $f \perp a$ for $a \in A$.

2. Auxiliary Lemma:

Lemma 2.1: If A is subspace of $L_{p,w}(X)$. Then

$A \subset Q_\delta(f)$ when even the diameter of A is smaller than $\|f - A\|_{p,w}$

$\delta(A) < \|f - A\|_{p,w}$, where $\delta(A)$ is the diameter of A .

Lemma 2.2: if A is a convex subset of strongly locally convex $L_{p,w}(X)$, then $Q_\delta(f)$ is a convex set.

Lemma 2.3: if A is a linear subspace of $L_{p,w}(X)$ and $Q_\delta(0) = \{f \in L_{p,w}(X) : 0 \in Q_\delta(f)\}$.

Then

- (i) $(Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$ is a closed set containing 0.
- (ii) $a_0 \in Q_\delta(f) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in Q_\delta(f - a_0)$ i.e. $f - a_0 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$.
- (iii) for $a \in A$ we have $h \in (Q_\delta(a))^{-1} \Leftrightarrow a \in Q_\delta(a + h)$ i.e. $a + h \in (Q_\delta(a))^{-1}$.

Remark 2.4: If $L_{p,w}(X)$ is unbounded functions space and f fixed function in $L_{p,w}(X)$, then the space

$$\overline{L_{p,w}(X)} = \left\{ f: [-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \|f\|_{p,w} = \sup_{\substack{x,y \in [-1,1] \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \right\}, \text{ is}$$

complete normed space.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1:

Let $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and A is linear subspace of unbounded functions space. Then $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is non-empty set for some $f \in L_{p,w}(X)/A$ if and only if $(Q_\delta(f))^{-1}(0)$ is non-singleton.

Proof:- By Lemma 2.3 (ii), then $0 \in (Q_\delta(f))^{-1}(0)$.

Now, suppose $g \in \mathcal{F}(f)$ for some $f \in L_{p,w}(X)/A$. Then By Lemma 2.3 (ii)

$\|f - g\|_{p,w} \neq 0 \in (Q_\delta(f))^{-1}(0)$ and so, $(Q_\delta(f))^{-1}(0)$ is not a singleton.

Conversely: assume $(Q_\delta(f))^{-1}(0)$ is not a singleton.

Then there exists an $(Q_\delta(f) \neq \emptyset) \in (Q_\delta(f))^{-1}(0)$

And so $0 \in Q_\delta(f)$

i.e. $Q_\delta(f) \neq \emptyset$ for some $f \in L_{p,w}(X)/A$.



Theorem 3.2:

Let $L_{p,w}(X)$ be the space of all monotons unbounded function, $1 \leq p < \infty$, A is linear subspace of $L_{p,w}(X)$,

$f \in L_{p,w}(X) / A$ and $\lambda \in A$. Then $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(f)$, for each $\lambda \in A$, there is an $f_\lambda \in \overline{L_{p,w}(X)}$ with the following properties

- (i) $|f_\lambda(f) - f_\lambda(\lambda)| \leq \|f - \lambda\|_{p,w} \quad \forall f \in L_{p,w}(X) \& \lambda \in A$.
- (ii) $f_\lambda(f - \lambda) = 0$.
- (iii) $f_\lambda(\lambda_0 - \lambda) = \|\lambda_0 - \lambda\|_{p,w}$.

Proof: Assume that for every $\lambda_0 \in A$, there exist $f_\lambda \in \overline{L_{p,w}(X)}$, satisfies the above conditions (i), (ii) and (iii), then

$\|f - \lambda_0\|_{p,w} \geq |f_\lambda(f) - f_\lambda(\lambda_0)|$ by condition (i).
 Since, $|f_\lambda(f) - f_\lambda(\lambda_0)| = |f_\lambda(f - \lambda + \lambda - \lambda_0)| = |f_\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_0)|$ by condition (ii).
 $f_\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_0) = \|\lambda_0 - \lambda\|_{p,w}$ by condition (iii).
 Thus, $\|\lambda_0 - \lambda\|_{p,w} \leq \|f - \lambda_0\|_{p,w}$ for every $\lambda_0 \in A$.
 Hence, λ is co-approximation of f , implies $\lambda \in Q_\delta(f)$.



Theorem 3.3:

Let A be a linear subspace of $L_{p,w}(X)$ with $Q_\delta(f) = \emptyset$, for every $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$, there exist no $\mathcal{h} \in L_{p,w}(X) \ni A \perp \mathcal{h}$.

Proof :- Suppose there exists some $\mathcal{h} \in L_{p,w}(X)$ such that $A \perp \mathcal{h}$, i.e. $a \perp \mathcal{h}$ for every $a \in A$. Then

$\|f - \beta \mathcal{h}\|_{p,w} \geq \|f - 0\|_{p,w}$, for all $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ and for all scalars β .

This gives

$\|f - 0\|_{p,w} \leq \|\mathcal{h} - f\|_{p,w}$ for all $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$

i.e. $0 \in Q_\delta(f)$, thus $Q_\delta(\mathcal{h}) \neq \emptyset$

for $\mathcal{h} \in L_{p,w}(X)$, this contradiction with hypothesis.



Theorem 3.4:

Let M sub space of $L_{p,w}(X)$ and $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ then :

- (i) $B = \{g_0 \in M : g_0 \cap_{g \in M} \mathcal{P}_\delta(f)\} \subset$

$Q_\delta(f)$, where $\|g_0 - f\|_{p,w} =$
 $\{\beta f + (1 - \beta)g_0: \beta \text{ scalar}\}$ is the

linear manifold spanned by g_0 and f .

(ii) For an element $g_0 \in M$ we
 have $g_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$

if $A \subset \mathcal{P}_{\delta\|f-a_0\|}^{-1}(0) = \{h \in L_{p,w}: 0 \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(h)\}$

Proof :(i) $a_0 \in A$ and $a_0 \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(a)$.

For all $a \in A \Rightarrow a_0 \in A$ and $\|a_0 - a\|_{p,w} \leq$
 $\|(\beta f + (1 - \beta)a_0) - a\|_{p,w}$, for all $a \in A$ and all
 scalars β .

For all $a \in A$ and all scalars β

$\Rightarrow a_0 \in A$ and $\|a_0 - a\|_{p,w} \leq \|f - a\|_{p,w}$ for

all $g \in M$

i.e. $a_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$

(ii) $A \subset \mathcal{P}_{\delta\|f-a_0\|}^{-1}(0)$, so $0 \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(a)$ for all
 $a \in A$.

$\Rightarrow \|a_0 - a\|_{p,w} \leq \|\beta(f - a_0) - a\|_{p,w}$, for all
 $a \in A$.

Let $a_1 \in A$. Put $a = a_1 - a_0$ and $\beta = 1$, we
 obtain

$\|(a_1 - a_0) - 0\|_{p,w} \leq \|(f - a_0) - (a_1 -$
 $a_0)\|_{p,w} \leq \|f - a_1\|_{p,w}$.

So, $a_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$. ■

Theorem 3.5:

Let A be a subspace of linear space $L_{p,w}(X)$. Then
 following statements are equivalent.

- (i) A is coproximal.
- (ii) $L_{p,w}(X) = A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ and A coproximal,
 there is $a_0 \in A$ such that $a_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$ from lemma 2.3

(ii)
 $f - a_0 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$.

Since $a_0 \in A$ and $f - a_0 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$ implies $f = a_0 +$
 $f - a_0 \in A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$.

Hence $f \in A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

i.e. we obtain, $L_{p,w}(X) \subset A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$, but
 $A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \subset L_{p,w}(X)$.

Thus $L_{p,w}(X) = A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$.

Now we need to show that (ii) \Rightarrow (i), let $f \in L_{p,w}(X) =$
 $A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$.

Then $f = a_0 + a$, $a_0 \in A$ and $a \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$.

So, $0 \in Q_\delta(a) = Q_\delta(f - a_0)$.

From lemma (ii), $a_0 \in Q_\delta(a)$.

Hence A is coproximal

■

Theorem 3.6:

Let A be a subspace of linear space $L_{p,w}(X)$. Then
 following statements are equivalent:

- (i) A is coproximal.
- (ii) A is closed and for canonical mapping
 $M_A: L_{p,w}(X) \rightarrow L_{p,w}(X)/A$ such
 that $M_A(Q_\delta(0))^{-1} = L_{p,w}(X)/A$.

Proof :-

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let A be a coproximal sub space of $L_{p,w}(X)$
 we need to prove A is closed and

$M_A(Q_\delta(0))^{-1} = L_{p,w}(X)/A$

Let $a \in \bar{A}$, $r_0 \in Q_\delta(a)$.

Then there is a sequence $\{a_n\}$ in A such that $a_n \rightarrow a$
 and

$\|a - r_0\|_{p,w} \leq \|a - k\|_{p,w}$ for $k \in A$

Also $\|a_n - r_0\| \leq \|a_n - a\|$ for all n .

We obtain $a_n \rightarrow r_0$, but $a_n \rightarrow a$

Implies $r_0 = a \in A$

Thus $\bar{A} \subset A \subset \bar{A}$ implies

$A = \bar{A}$

So, A is closed

Now $a_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$ implies by lemma 2.3 (ii)

$f - a_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$, then $M_A(f - a_0) = (f - a_0) + A =$
 $f + A$

(ii) \rightarrow (i)

Let $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$

$f + A \in L_{p,w}(X)/A = M_A(Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow f + A = M_A(h)$, where $h \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow f + A = h + A$, where $0 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow f - h = a_0 \in A$ and $0 \in Q_\delta(f - a_0)$

from lemma 3.3 (ii)

$\Rightarrow a_0 \in Q_\delta(f)$

A is coproximal. ■

Theorem 3.7:

Let A be closed sub space of the space $L_{p,w}(X)$. Then
 the following .

- (i) A is a co-Chebyshev subspace.
- (ii) $L_{p,w}(X) = A \oplus (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$, where
 \oplus means that the sum

decomposition of each $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ is a unique .

- (iii) A is coproximal and $(Q_\delta(0))^{-1} - (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \cap A = \{0\}$.
- (iv) A is coproximal and the restriction mapping $M_A / (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$ is injective.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii) since A is co-Chebyshev it is coproximal and by theorem 3.5

$L_{p,w}(X) = A + (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$, now we show that the sum decomposition of each $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ is a unique suppose $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ and

$$f = a_1 + h_1 \text{ and } f = a_2 + h_2$$

Where $a_1, a_2 \in A, h_1, h_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

This gives $a_1 - a_2 = h - h_2$ Now $h_1 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \Rightarrow 0 \in Q_\delta(h_1) \Rightarrow a_1 \in Q_\delta(h_1 + a_1)$ by lemma 2.3 (ii).

i.e. $a_1 \in Q_\delta(f)$ similarly $a_2 \in Q_\delta(f)$

since A is co-Chebyshev $a_1 = a_2$ and consequently $h_1 = h_2$

Hence $L_{p,w}(X) = A \oplus (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

(ii) \rightarrow (iii) $L_{p,w}(X) = A \oplus (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \Rightarrow A$ coproximal.

By Theorem 3.6 suppose $0 \neq h \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} - (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \cap A$

Then $h = h_1 - h_2, h_1 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}, h_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$

$h_1 \neq h_2$ so, $0 \in Q_\delta(h_1), 0 \in Q_\delta(h_2)$, now $h_1, h_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}, h_1 - h_2 \in A \setminus \{0\}$ and

$h_1, h_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}, h_1 - h_2 \in A \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_1 = 0 + h_1 = (h_1 - h_2) + h_2$ a contradiction to the uniqueness of the sum decomposition .

Hence $[(Q_\delta(0))^{-1} - (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \cap A = \{0\}]$.

(iii) \rightarrow (iv) Suppose $M_A / (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}$ is not injective, i.e there exists $h_1 - h_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} - (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \cap A$ and $M_A(h_1) = M_A(h_2)$ Then $0 \neq h_1, h_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} - (Q_\delta(0))^{-1} \cap A$ a contradiction.

(iv) \rightarrow (i) Suppose $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ has two distinct best co-approximation in A say a_1 and a_2 then by observation 2.3 (ii)

$f - a_1$ and $f - a_2 \in (Q_\delta(0))^{-1}, f - a_1 \neq f - a_2$.

But $M_A f - (a_1) = M_A f - (a_2)$ QS

$(f - a_1) - (f - a_2) = a_2 - a_1 \in A$, which is a contradiction.

■

Theorem 3.8:

for a closed linear subspace A of $L_{p,w}(X)$ the following statement are equivalent :-

(i) A is co-semi chebyshev sub space .

(ii) Each element $f \in L_{p,w}(X)$ has at most one some decomposition as $A + (F(0))^{-1}$

(iii) $[(F(0))^{-1} - (F(0))^{-1}] \cap A = \{0\}$

$M_A | (F(0))^{-1}$ is injective.

■

=References

1. H. berens and U. westphal, (1980), on the best co-approximation in Hilbert space, Devore and K. Scherer, Eds, Academic press, New york, 7-10.
2. T. D. Narang, (1986), On best coapproximation, Ranchi Univ. Math. J., p.p. 49-56.
3. T. D. Narang, (1991), On best coapproximation in normed linear spaces, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 22, 265-287.
4. T. D. Narang and S. P. Singh, (1997), Best coapproximation in locally convex spaces, Tamkang J. Math 28, p.p.1-5.
5. G. S. Rao and R. Sara Ranan, (2003), strongly unique best co-approximation, Kyungpook Mathematical J., Vol. 43, p.p.519-538.
6. S. mod ares and M. debghami, (2011), Best simultance, best co-approximation in normed linear spaces, proceeding of the 42nd Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference Vali-Asr university, Rafsanhan, Iran 5-8 September, p.p. 413-416.
7. T. D. Narang and S. Gupta, (2015), Proximality and co-approximality in metric linear spaces, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Seet. A, 69(1), (2015), p.p. 83-90.
8. T. D. Narang and S. Gupta, (2016), on Best approximation and best co-approximation, Thai. J. Math., 14 ,p.p. 505-516.

9. P. Bandyopadhyay, Y. Li and D. Narayana, (2008), Proximality in Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 ,p.p. 309-317.
10. Z. H. Zhang, C. Y. Liu and Z. Zhaou, (2015), Some examples concerning proximality in Banach Space, J. Approx. Theory, 200, p.p.136-143.
11. J. Kavikumar, N. S. Manian, M.B.K. Moorthy, (2014), Best Co-approximation and Best Simultaneous Co-approximation in Fuzzy Normed

- Spaces, World Academy Science, Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Vol. 8, no. 1, p.p. 211-217.
12. J. Kavikumar, N. S. Manian, M.B.K. Moorthy, (2014), Best Co-approximation in Fuzzy Anti-normed Spaces, World Academy Science, Engineering and Technology, International of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, Vol. 8, no 4, p.p.162-165.

أفضل تقريب مصاحب في الفضاء الموزون

علاء عدنان عواد¹ علاء حسين²

¹قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة، جامعة الانبار، رمادي، العراق

²قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية للعلوم الصرفة، جامعة الانبار، رمادي، العراق

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث تم عرض أفضل تقريب مصاحب في الفضاء الموزون والنتائج التي الحصول عليها هي وجودية الدوال لأفضل تقريب مصاحب و وحدانية وتعددية هذه الدوال في فضاءات شببشيف المصاحبة الجزئية.